

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/805,331	03/22/2004	Hiroshi Nakayama	016907-1636	9097	
22428	7590 06/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			LEE, PETER		
	SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20007		2852		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2009	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occasion	10/805,331	NAKAYAMA, HIROS	SHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Lee	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet (with the correspondence addr	'ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 10) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 22 March 2005.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8, 10-13 is/are reject 7) ☑ Claim(s) 2,7,9 and 14 is/are objecte 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tre withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 20</u>	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2005</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	• , ,	' '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	tage			
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· —	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/805,331

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashi et al. (US 6333490) in view of Kato (US 5899599).

Higashi teaches an electronic image forming system (ie. image forming apparatus) comprising: a forming unit that is capable of placing a toner image onto a recording medium on the basis of an acquired image information (col. 4 lines 47-52); a fixing apparatus (fig. 1 part 10) (ie. fixing unit) in which the unfixed toner image is fixed by a heating roller (fig. 3 part 34) and a pressing roller (part 30), the fixing roller is taught to have inside the heating roller a first halogen lamp (part 32A) (ie. first heater) and a second halogen lamp (part 32B) (ie. second heater); a first thermistor (part 80) (ie. first temperature sensor); a second thermistor (part 82) (ie. second temperature sensor); a controller (part 86) (ie. control unit) that is able to select based on the temperatures detected by the thermistors, a heating mode (ie. selects one of a plurality of tables prepared in advance) with preset heating characteristics for a fixing operation (col. 13 lines 35-67).

Higashi teaches the second halogen heater (part 32B) located within the heating roller to be located at both end portions of the heating roller, while the first halogen heater (part 32B) to be located at a central portion of the heating roller (fig. 3).

Higashi does not teach the detection of a change in temperature when determining the operation parameters of the controller.

Kato teaches the use of detecting a rate of temperature rise and fall of a heating roller in determining a fixing operation (col. 4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Higashi that teaches detection of a static temperature, by combining it with the teachings of Kato that teaches the detection of a rate of temperature change. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a detection of a temperature changing rate in order to better keep track of the temperature of a heating roller in order to maintain a set fixing temperature (col. 2 lines 1-25).

3. Claim 4, 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashi in view of Kato, further in view of Nishida et al. (US 6301454).

Higashi teaches all of the limitations from which the above claims depend upon. Higashi does not teach the practice of alternately rising the temperatures of two heaters that are positioned so that one is located centrally, and the other at two end portions.

Nishida teaches a fixing unit (fig. 1) with a heater roller (fig. 1 part 1) that contains within it two heaters, one main heater (fig. 1 part 2) (ie. heater which heats central portion) and one sub heater (fig. 1 part 3) (ie. heater which heats both ends). Nishida also teaches a fixing heater controlling method that has a first period in which only the first main heater is driven, and a second time period following the first where only the second sub heater is driven (col. 3 lines 50-55) (ie. control unit alternately carries out rising temperatures).

Art Unit: 2852

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to include two heaters inside of a heater/fixing roller and alternate the heating between the two as taught by Nishida, and use them in a fixing device as taught by Higashi. Although the two fixing devices are not exactly the same, they both are of the same field of being used to fix a toner image onto a paper sheet, and it is very possible and obvious for a controller taught in the invention of Higashi to handle the alternating powering between two heaters if they were to be within a fixing roller. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to prevent unwanted rush currents during initial start ups (col. 3 line 63-col. 4 line 5).

1. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashi in view of Kato, further in view of Sawamura et al. (US 6061546).

Higashi teaches all of the limitations from which the above claims depend upon.

Higashi does not explicitly teach using temperature sensors for either a photosensitive drum or a recording medium housing cassette to control the temperature of a fixing unit.

Sawamura teaches an image forming apparatus that utilizes various sensors, one of them being a photosensitive drum temperature sensor (fig. 1 part 40), to send to a control section (fig. 1 part 50) that will maintain a desirable temperature in the fusing roller (col. 11 lines 19-25 and lines 35-45) (ie. temperature control of fixing unit in consideration of at least a signal from a temperature sensor of a photosensitive drum).

Although the first reference, Higashi, does not teach the use of cooling fans inside of the image forming apparatus, this feature is well known in the art as taught by the second reference, Sawamura; and the two references Higashi and Sawamura are analogous art because they both

Art Unit: 2852

are from the same field of image forming apparatuses that utilize a controlling means to adjust a fixing temperature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include cooling fans to cool the inside of an image forming apparatus, as taught by Higashi; and further to modify the heat drive circuit of the image forming apparatus taught by the base reference Higashi, to operate according to a photosensitive drum temperature sensor to turn on and off cooling fans as taught by Sawamura. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to locate a temperature sensor for a photosensitive drum in order to adjust the temperature to prevent condensation on the drum (col. 11 lines 35-45). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to also have a controlling unit (ie. heat drive circuit) consider a temperature of a photosensitive drum as taken by a sensor to control a temperature of a fixing unit because this temperature plays a part in the overall temperature inside the apparatus which may lead to unwanted overheating of the thermal fuser (col. 11 lines 19-25).

2. Claims 2, 7, 9, 14 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/805,331 Page 6

Art Unit: 2852

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues on p. 9 that the teachings of Toizumi does not teach the newly amended limitations of having a first and second heater, and first and second temperature sensors. The newly recited prior art teaches of Hagashi in view of Kato teaches the use of first and second heaters, along with their respective temperature sensing thermistors, and using the detection of a rise or fall in temperature rate to control the fixing operation.

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Art Unit: 2852

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2846. The

examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PL 6/13/2005